

Mr. GRIFFITH. Could the Speaker inform us as to what the privileged motion is.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. There is nothing pending at this time.

Mr. GRIFFITH. A further parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will please state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. GRIFFITH. Could the Speaker inform us as to what the parliamentary privileged motion was that was previously referenced just a couple of minutes ago, that was to be taken up immediately, for which we heard no vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Speaker will inform the gentleman that the House just adopted a resolution electing Members to committee.

Mr. GRIFFITH. I appreciate the answer, Mr. Speaker. Thank you very much. That is illuminating.

A further parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. GRIFFITH. Can the Speaker advise me as to why the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. GUTHRIE) was not recognized to call for the "yeas" and "nays" on the previous question related to the continuing resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. We paused for a few minutes and no one addressed the Speaker for that purpose. We did not hear any request for the "yeas" and "nays."

For what purpose does the minority whip seek recognition?

Mr. SCALISE. Mr. Speaker, did the gentleman from Kentucky make a request for a recorded vote, because it is our understanding that he did make that request?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair did not hear any request for a recorded vote. We paused for a few seconds waiting for that to happen and it did not happen.

Mr. SCALISE. But if the Speaker didn't hear the gentleman make the request, but the gentleman did, in fact, make the request, then can we reconsider the vote?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair did not hear the request. There was no timely request.

Mr. SCALISE. Then a parliamentary inquiry would be: Can we make a motion to reconsider?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. As I recall, the motion to reconsider has already been laid on the table.

Mr. SCALISE. So is the Speaker saying that that motion would not be in order?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. At this point, that motion is not in order. It has been laid on the table.

Mr. YOHO. Mr. SCALISE, may I ask a question?

Mr. SCALISE. Yes.

Mr. YOHO. Does the court reporter have a record of Mr. GUTHRIE's statement? Can we have that read back, please?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For what purpose does the gentleman seek recognition?

Mr. YOHO. A parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry, please.

Mr. YOHO. I would like to know if the court reporter has taken a transcript and recorded Mr. GUTHRIE's ask for a recorded vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is authorized to consult the RECORD in the regular course.

Mr. YOHO. If that RECORD shows that he did ask that and it didn't ask for a vote, is this vote null?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has ruled on this matter, and again, I was awaiting a request for a recorded vote and did not hear one. There was no timely request.

The gentleman from North Carolina is recognized.

Mr. MEADOWS. Mr. Speaker, I appeal the ruling of the Chair.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The appeal is not in order at this time. The Chair has already announced that votes have concluded. Members have left the floor and have returned to their offices. That request is not in order.

Mr. MEADOWS. I appeal the ruling of the Chair, again.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. There is no appeal available in these proceedings at this time.

#### REQUEST TO RECONSIDER THE MOTION THAT WAS LAID ON THE TABLE ON H.J. RES. 28

Mr. SCALISE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to reconsider the motion that was laid on the table on H.J. Res. 28.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For what purpose does the gentleman from Maryland seek recognition?

Mr. HOYER. I am not sure what is going on, but I object. It is done.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

#### PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. SCALISE. Mr. Speaker, I am not sure if that is a formal objection, the way it was stated. I would ask a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. SCALISE. Is there any formal motion that would be in order that would allow reconsideration of the vote on H.J. Res. 28?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Such an outcome could be achieved by unanimous consent.

Mr. SCALISE. Well, then, Mr. Speaker, is there any procedural motion that doesn't require unanimous consent that would be in order to request the Chair to reconsider H.J. Res. 28?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair does not give advisory opinion.

Mr. SCALISE. I would yield the Chair as much time as possible to get

an answer on that parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For what purpose does the gentleman from Louisiana seek recognition?

Mr. SCALISE. I seek recognition to see if there was an answer to the parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Again, the gentleman can achieve this result by seeking unanimous consent from our colleagues.

Mr. SCALISE. Mr. Speaker, that recognition was sought.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Would the gentleman repeat it, please.

#### REQUEST TO RECONSIDER THE VOTE ON H.J. RES. 28

Mr. SCALISE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to reconsider the vote by which H.J. Res. 28 was passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For what purpose does the gentleman from Maryland seek recognition?

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object—I understand there is a problem—and I intend to object.

We have told all our people to go home. It is not just a question of being in their offices, with all due respect. They are on their way to their planes. It is far after the time when we usually leave on a go-away day.

And I feel badly. I am going to look at the TV. I don't, frankly, think—I was sitting right here. I didn't hear or see anybody asking for a recorded vote. And, very frankly, you don't usually have to ask for a recorded vote because, when you were in the majority, it was always us that had to record the vote because we were losing. Frankly, I think that is what happened, I will be very honest with you.

But again, I would like to be able to correct this situation. But I cannot do that in good conscience because people have told me they had 1:30 planes, and they are gone. And I feel very badly about that, but that is the fact.

I don't think any games were played. I am going to look at the tape—it is on television—and see. I understand the problem that most of you were not for the resolution that passed. We didn't stop anybody from asking for a vote, nor could we have stopped anybody from asking for a vote. I was surprised that it went without a vote.

The Speaker and the Parliamentarian indicate that the proper procedure was followed, but the vote was not asked for. Therefore, I feel badly about it, because I understand the problem you are in. But I have Members who have left for the airports and they are not going to be here.

The vote, if it was reconsidered, is equally important on our side as it is on your side. I cannot expose my Members to missing that vote, nor will I. And I apologize for that. But I hope you understand that, given the situation, I cannot let my Members be subjected to missing that vote, which is a very important vote for them. And, therefore, I will have to object.